

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/601,412 06/23/2003		06/23/2003	Naohiro Morozumi	FY.50590US1A	7766	
20995	7590	01/30/2006		EXAMINER		
KNOBBE 1 2040 MAIN		IS OLSON & I	DIXON, MERRICK L			
FOURTEEN		)R		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
IRVINE, CA	A 92614		1774			
				DATE MAIL ED: 01/30/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
	Office Action Summan	10/601,412	MOROZUMI ET AL.					
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
		Merrick Dixon	1774					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>07 No</u>	ovember 2005.						
2a) <u></u> □	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)[	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
	closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
<ul> <li>4)  Claim(s) 1-28 is/are pending in the application.</li> <li>4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-16 is/are withdrawn from consideration.</li> <li>5)  Claim(s) is/are allowed.</li> <li>6)  Claim(s) 17-28 is/are rejected.</li> <li>7)  Claim(s) is/are objected to.</li> </ul>								
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
	on Papers							
<ul> <li>9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.</li> <li>10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.  Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).  Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).</li> <li>11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.</li> </ul>								
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) ⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ⊠ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of:  1. ☑ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.  2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No  3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).  * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
•		1/10	mel De					
Attachmen	t(s)	<i>/// - W</i>	MERRICK DIXON PRIMARY EXAMINER					
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
3) X Inform	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>6-23-03</u> .	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5)  Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	ite atent Application (PTO-152)					

Art Unit: 1774

Applicants' election of article claims 17-28, is acknowledged. It is noted the elected claims are a7-28. It appears the offered claims were incorrectly listed.

1. Claims 27 and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 27, line 3-4, the phrase, "was moved" is vague and indefinite. Applicants are requested to provide better claim language for examination. See claim 28, line 3 for similar problem.

2

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3

Claims 17,18,19,20,25-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ogura(US 3957943). The cited reference teaches the claimed invention including a fiber reinforced article comprising first and second mats of multilayered material overlapped,

Art Unit: 1774

with respective edges and a resin material impregnating the mats and modifications thereon to facilitate resin flow thereon- col 2, lines 16-19; col 3, lines 59- col 4, line 10; col 6, lines 67- col 7, line 5; figs 4 and 6. Concerning claim 18, the reference teaches flow resitance of its outer layer- col 5, lines 65- col 6, line 2. concerning claims 19 and 20, the reference teaches respective layers of similar lengths/configurations- figs 4 and 6.

4

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5

Claims 21-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ogura(US 3957943) in view of Hawkins et al(US 4411939). The primary reference to Ogura teaches the claimed invention as discussed above, inter alla. the reference fails to teach teaches for its layers. The secondary reference to Hawkins et al, however, teaches that it is known in the art to bond respective laminated layers as taught by the primary reference together with stitchings- col 1, lines 9-23; col 2, lines 45-64; col 3, lines 47-61; col 4, lines 33-50; col 5, lines 22-27. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention is made to combine the teachings of the

Application/Control Number: 10/601,412

Art Unit: 1774

secondary reference to Hawkins et al and bond the layers of the primary reference with stitching means as taught by the secondary reference, in the absence of unexpected results and further to more perfect the layers bondings. Concerning claims 22-24,the secondary reference teaches flexible tube elements as discussed above.

6

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Smith et al( US 6932116 B2) and Hemphill et al( US 6723271 B2) are cited of interest for their respective teachings and additionally to show the state of the art.

7

Applicants who wish to send a facsimile (draft copies) for the examiner's immediate review can do so by using the Examiner's personal fax number at 571-273-1520. The faxing of all papers must conform with the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 O.G. 30 (November 15, 1989). NOTE: All facsimiles sent to the examiner's personal fax number should be in draft-forms and will be treated as informal.

Same facsimiles will not be entered in the related applications unless otherwise agreed and noted by the examiner.

The fax number for all other fascimile is 571-273-8300.

Information about **the status of an application** may be obtained from the Patent Information Retrieval system (**Private PAIR**).

Art Unit: 1774

Status inquires for **published applications** may be retrieved from either **Private PAIR** or **Public PAIR**. Questions about the PAIR system should be directed to the Electronic Business Center at **866-217-9197**.

Any questions concerning the instant communication should be directed to Examiner Dixon, at 571-272-1520, Mondays, Wednesdays and Thursdays, between 12 noon and 8 PM, eastern time.

Merrick Dixon

**Primary Examiner** 

Group 1700